

Attachment B-10

JANE ROSE REPORTING

rose

800-825-3341

74 FIFTH AVENUE NYC 10011
JANE ROSE REPORTING.COM
JANE ROSE @ JANE ROSE REPORTING.COM

County of Jackson - Michigan

**Class II Permit Action
West Bay #22**

Public Hearing
November 20, 2014

STATE OF MICHIGAN
IN THE COUNTY OF JACKSON

CLASS II PERMIT ACTION
WEST BAY #22

PUBLIC HEARING
November 20, 2014
Columbia Elementary School
Brooklyn, Michigan
Steve Jann, EPA Hearing Officer

FINAL COPY
JANE ROSE REPORTING 1-800-825-3341

APPEARANCES

Steve Jann, Hearing Officer
Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5

Jeffrey Wawczak
Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5

Lilly Simmons
Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5

Pete Cassell, Public Affairs Specialist
Environmental Protection Agency

JANE ROSE REPORTING

74 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10011
1-800-825-3341
Melinda R. Womack, Court Reporter

Page 22

1 tell them where not to put it. And if there's a better
2 spot for this to be, we would like it to go in that
3 spot if it has to be here. That's all.

4 MR. CASSELL: Okay. Thank you. Our last
5 marked speaker here on our list is Peter Bormuth. You
6 have ten minutes.

7 MR. BORMUTH: I'd like to introduce these 23
8 scientific studies, newspaper articles, or other
9 permits that the EPA has issued as references to my
10 public comments.

11 I want to say that this well is going to
12 contaminate our underground source of drinking water.
13 I want to say that my first reference is Bell; Cripps;
14 Culshaw, Groundwater in Engineering Geology, and it
15 shows the reliance of Region 5 on the hydrologic atlas
16 of Michigan is misplaced since permeability estimates
17 cannot be applied to rocks that can hydrate in salt,
18 which are susceptible to solution.

19 It also shows that massive anhydrite, which
20 the EPA claims to be impermeable, "can be dissolved to
21 produce uncontrollable runaway situations in which
22 seepage flows increase at a rapidly accelerating
23 manner. The study claims that the solution rate of
24 gypsum and anhydrite is principally controlled by the
25 area of their surface in contact with the water and the

1 flow velocity associated with the unit area of the
2 material. This anhydrite cap is not going to stop the
3 upward migration of this solution, it's going to
4 convert to gypsum after it expands absorbing the
5 hydration of water, and it's going to migrate upwards
6 because Michigan has a vertical flow.

7 The second reference is Conley and Bundy and
8 it's the mechanism of gypsification. It shows that the
9 hydration process of anhydrite to gypsum is accelerated
10 in the presence of certain salts like those contained
11 in the brine that West Bay will be injecting in the
12 Salina formation and the Niagaran formation.

13 Reference number 3 is EPA draft investigation
14 on groundwater contamination near Pavilion, Wyoming,
15 and it concludes the constituents associated with
16 hydraulic fracturing released in the Wind River
17 drinking water aquifer at depths above the current
18 production zone. This proves that the EPA knows that
19 injected fluids can migrate upwards and that they have
20 previously made mistakes with regard to impermeability
21 of rock formations when issuing permits.

22 Reference number four is the EPA draft report
23 on on Pavilion which found the best explanation for
24 data of the deep monitoring wells is that the
25 constituents associated with hydraulic fracturing have

1 been released in the Wind River drinking water aquifer
2 at depths above the current production zone.

3 Reference number 5 is interesting. It's EPA
4 Permit MI-163-3G-A002 for the Sunoco Inkster facility
5 issued on June 14, 2006. This permit authorized
6 dissolution of F salt layers in the Niagaran group for
7 the purpose of creating a gas storage cavern. The EPA
8 permitted this. They know that the Niagaran and Salina
9 will dissolve in solution. They previously issued
10 permits that prove this.

11 Reference number six is Hardie, The American
12 Mineralogist. It references that gypsum is replacing
13 hydrated depths as great a 3500 feet. Here we're only
14 talking about 2600 feet and gives formula for the
15 chemical reactions.

16 Reference number seven is James and Edworthy.
17 It gives formula for the dissolution of soluble rocks.

18 Reference number eight is Jaworski, Advances
19 in Crystallization Processes. It states that the
20 hydration of anhydrite can occur very quickly within a
21 few years or even within one year and describes
22 hydration process in some detail.

23 Reference number nine is Klimchouk, and it's
24 the dissolution conversion of gypsum anhydrite. It
25 shows that solubility anhydrate increases sharply with

1 pressure. Each point 01 PA increase in pressure
2 results any a three to five times increase in the
3 solubility in this cap you're telling us is
4 impermeable.

5 Reference number ten is Kreitler, the
6 Hydrogeology of Sedimentary Basins. The study
7 primarily deals with Texas. It draws some significant
8 conclusions about hydraulic properties of sedimentary
9 basins and suggests that the cross-formational flow of
10 flow through low permeability rocks must be considered
11 as an essential element in describing fluid flow in our
12 sedimentary basins.

13 Reference number 11 is Middleton: What Lies
14 Beneath. It details the complaint of the Texas
15 Railroad Commission of groundwater contamination in
16 Texas because of oil waste injection wells.

17 Reference number 12 is Murray. The origin
18 and diagenesis of gypsum and anhydrite. Notes while
19 conversion anhydrite usually takes place near the
20 surface it has been observed in depths as deep as 3500
21 feet in formation.

22 Reference number 13 is Myers, Potential
23 Contaminant Pathways from Hydraulically Fractured Shale
24 to Aquifers. It shows that brines existing more than
25 1000 meters above their sources has moved upwards, and

1 shows that the injection of pressure can cause
2 contaminants to reach overlying formation by simple
3 displacement of fluids from the shale into the over
4 burden, and it claims that injective transport will
5 manifest if there's a significant proponent to the
6 regional hydraulic gradient as there is here in
7 Michigan.

8 Reference number 14 is Rauh, Investigations
9 on the Swelling Behavior of Pure Anhydrites. It gives
10 rates of dissolution for different anhydrites taken
11 from European formation.

12 Reference number 15, is Sass and Burbaum.
13 It's the Damage to the Historic Town of Staufen,
14 Germany caused by geothermal drillings. Basically it
15 shows the introduction of water to an anhydrite
16 formation for bore hole heat exchange installation
17 caused surface upheaval because of resulting anhydrite
18 swell. The depth of anhydrite was less than 200 meters
19 in this study.

20 Reference number 16 is Singh, documented
21 effects of sodium on the hydration of anhydrite
22 increases the reaction.

23 Reference number 17, Steiner, International
24 Journal of Rock Mechanics concludes that in situ
25 swelling pressures in anhydrite shales indicate maximum

Page 27

1 values of 2.5 MPA and mean values of 1.6 and 2.0 MPA.

2 Reference number 18 Suthersan, Hydraulic and
3 Pneumatic Fracturing. It just describes the effect of
4 injected pressure on rocks and nooks that rock
5 fracturing begins a pressures less than a hundred PCI.
6 You're injecting pressure at 683 PCI or something like
7 that.

8 Reference number 19 is Warner and Jackson.
9 It's the migration of Marcellus formation brine to
10 shallow aquifers in Pennsylvania. It describes upward
11 cross-formational flow of Marcellus formation brine
12 into shallow aquifers in Pennsylvania.

13 Reference number 20 is we Weaver, Frape,
14 Cherry, recent cross-formational flow and mixing in the
15 shallow Michigan basin. This study documents upward
16 migration if saline fluids in the overlying glacier
17 sediments during the historic period since petroleum
18 production began. Basically we're talking about
19 fracturing intensification and increased permeability
20 of the near surface layers above a thousand feet
21 because of the isotonic rebound following glaciers.
22 The study area was on the edge of the Michigan basin
23 and Ontario, but the authors note a correlation with
24 the Detroit River Group in Central Michigan.

25 Reference number 21 is Wikipedia: It's just

1 a definition of anhydrite. It states the accepted
2 scientific conclusion taught in geology classes,
3 anhydrite converts to gypsum upon exposure to water.
4 You're injecting water.

5 Reference number 22 is Wikipedia: Benzene,
6 Ethylbenzene, Toluene, Xylene, Naphthalene, and
7 Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons. I took this list of
8 chemical constituents in the EPA website, and I looked
9 up the health effects. They're toxic. Most of them
10 cause cancer.

11 Reference number 23 is Zen, Solubility
12 Measurements in the System at 35, 50 and 70 degrees and
13 one atmosphere pressure. It just gives the formula for
14 the conversion of anhydrite and gypsum and notes that
15 anhydrite converts under conditions where it was
16 previously presumed to be stable.

17 I also want to say that I've been before the
18 Environmental Appeals Board on two separate occasions
19 and you people have done everything possible to ignore
20 this argument. In the first case of West Bay #22
21 number one you basically accepted a petition that was
22 filed by another person that was filed 42 days after
23 the deadline in order to bail you out so you didn't
24 have to deal with my argument.

25 In the last petition before the Appeals Board

Page 29

1 West Bay #9, you refused to consider my scientific
2 documentation because I offered it to you at the
3 hearing and you told me you didn't need it because you
4 had it from the previous hearing. And then the EAB
5 ruled that I couldn't introduce those studies. You
6 people know that you're going to destroy the
7 groundwater of this county and of the Southern Michigan
8 basin. You've issued permits for gas storage caverns
9 that are going to basically dissolve the same layers
10 you're talking about here that are going to be the
11 layers that prevent upward migration. I have studies
12 coming from Germany. I apologize I couldn't introduce
13 them. I will make a motion to supplement. They prove
14 that in Germany a company has created a horizontal gas
15 storage cavern at 800 meters in anhydrite and salt
16 layers just like you're talking about here, the
17 Niagaran layers that you say are going to prevent the
18 upward migration. You people are liars.

19 MR. JANN: Let's do a time check, Steve.

20 MR. CASSELL: Times up.

21 MR. JANN: Times up for the moment. Let me
22 just ask if anyone who did not speak before wants to
23 say something, or if somebody who spoke earlier wants
24 to expand on their comments. And if not, let me
25 actually see a show of hands on that point first. Yes.

Page 32

1 this area. The size of the problem that would happen
2 if there's a remote chance of contamination is too
3 large in scale there. There's got to be other places
4 that if they actually do have to dump this stuff, as
5 you indicated earlier, there has to be another site.
6 You know, we can't have like BP oil spill like in the
7 Gulf of Mexico happen here because it would destroy
8 this entire region. Even if it was a point one percent
9 chance, it's still too high, and you can't guarantee
10 zero percent.

11 MR. JANN: Let me see here. Scanning across
12 this gentleman wants to speak, and then Mr. Bormuth, if
13 you have more comments to share we welcome those.

14 MR. BANCROFT: To get the oil they have to
15 drill the oil wells. They can't get the well without
16 drilling the oil well. They don't have to have an
17 injection well. It's for their profitability and their
18 convenience to put it here. They can ship it. So this
19 is not something they have to have. Before they had to
20 have the oil well to get the oil.

21 MR. CASSELL: Is there anyone else who had
22 anything?

23 MR. JANN: Okay. So we have about 13
24 minutes. Mr. Bormuth, you want to share some more.

25 MR. BORMUTH: Yes. I want to point out once

1 again that in EPA permits for the Sunoco Inkster
2 facility and another permit that were issued here in
3 the Michigan basin that. Permit was MI-163-3G-A002
4 basically showed that the EPA knows that these
5 confining layers of anhydrite and the layers in the
6 Niagaran formation, they know that they are going to
7 dissolve in solution. They've issued other permits
8 allowing a company to do that, and that permit gives
9 basically the formulas for it and a timeframe for it.
10 I want you to know that. These people are guilty of
11 gross negligence and willful and wanton misconduct in
12 permitting these wells. They know that that cap is not
13 going to succeed in stopping the upward migration of
14 this fluid because the scientific data shows that
15 anhydrite will convert to gypsum and that gypsum and
16 these salt layers are dissolvable in solution. I want
17 you to know that.

18 These people are not protecting your interest
19 like they're supposed to under the Clean Water Act and
20 the other regulations which they are supposed to
21 enforce. They are going to allow this to the
22 convenience of the oil company because they had
23 previously permitted these wells. There are 17 other
24 wells in the southern Michigan basin that are also at
25 the same level, that are also going to end up

Page 34

1 destroying our groundwater and potentially our surface
2 water as well. This is a serious issue that the EPA
3 has continually refused to address in my attempts to
4 get them to address it in front of the Environmental
5 Appeals Board. And I want you to know that this is a
6 major injustice to the people of Michigan and I feel
7 that there are Christians in the EPA who are
8 deliberately trying to destroy Michigan's groundwater.
9 Thank you.

10 MR. JANN: Thank you. A new gentleman joined
11 us. Do you care to make a comment for the record or
12 are you here to listen?

13 MR. KELLUM: Well I don't know what's been
14 said before I got here. I'm not prepared to make an
15 exhaustive comment, but one of my concerns is that --

16 MR. JAN: If you do want to make a comment,
17 I'd advise you to do that. Could you say your name for
18 us?

19 MR. KELLUM: My name is Bob Kellum.

20 MR. CASSELL: Can you spell your last name.

21 MR. KELLUM: K-E-L-L-U-M.

22 MR. CASSELL: Thank you.

23 MR. KELLUM: One of my understandings is that
24 with the creation of a groundwater injection well or an
25 injection well is that it would take traffic, tanker

1 MR. JANN: Mr. Bormuth?

2 MR. BORMUTH: I just wanted to reiterate what
3 this gentleman said about the Indiana bat. I
4 personally observed them in the area. Kurta has issued
5 numerous studies. He's a professor at Eastern Michigan
6 University on the behavior and habitat of the Indiana
7 bat and the Indiana bat is known to utilize this
8 habitat. They fly along the tree lines. They don't
9 necessarily go over the open fields to protect
10 themselves against owls and other things, but they will
11 utilize this area, and they will be exposed to these
12 chemicals. If there are any spills at this facility,
13 and if insects, you know, fly down to that spill water
14 and absorb it and the bats later on eat the insects.
15 So I'd like to speak also for the Indiana bat. This is
16 a creature that is on the endangered species list.
17 This is a creature that is suffering currently from a
18 disease, the white mouth, foamy mouth disease, and this
19 is a creature that may not longer be with us here on
20 the planet. And while this well, of course, is, you
21 know, a moderate or insignificant damage to that
22 creature, it should be spoken for and it should be
23 considered by the EPA. You have of a statutory
24 requirement, I believe, under the Endangered Species
25 Act to consider any endangered species in the vicinity

1 of a well. Thank you.

2 MR. JANN: Thank you.

3 MR. BANCROFT: I've got something else to
4 add.

5 MR. JANN: Okay.

6 MR. BANCROFT: Got something else to add.

7 MR. JANN: Okay.

8 MR. BANCROFT: Might help you, okay? It's
9 not just a complaint. I saw some -- a map at one time
10 recently that showed the recharge, had pixels for the
11 recharge of the aquifer out here, and this area
12 basically right where all these wells are right where
13 your injection or the injection well might go it's like
14 80%, it's this dark red pixels where the recharge of
15 this aquifer takes place right here, okay? Not in
16 Clinton or Monroe, but here. So this water coming in
17 here that benefits the whole region, large region, its
18 source is here. And people that live quite a ways from
19 here that depend on it really are depending on us to
20 take care of it, to be good stewards of this water, and
21 that's in my mind.

22 MR. JANN: Okay. Shall I say thank you?
23 Appreciate everybody coming out tonight, especially
24 with the snow and the cold weather. And as I mentioned
25 at the beginning, our comment period extends until the